Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/701,585	FUKUNAGA, TETSUYA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maribel Medina	1754
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):	
(1) <u>Maribel Medina</u> .	(3) Vince Shier.	
(2) <u>Thomas Barnes</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04 February 2002</u> .		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant	2) applicant's representativ	re]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No. ,	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-28</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>arti-eted in Paper #7</u>	<u>.</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached	d. g)⊠ was not reached. h)[	□ N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: See continuation Sheet		if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	copy of the amendments that w	
<ul> <li>i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a checked).</li> </ul>	separate record of the substance	e of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FC MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIE action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ON STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIE reverse side or on attached sheet.	W. (See MPEP Section 713.04) NE MONTH FROM THIS INTER	). If a reply to the last Office VIEW DATE TO FILE A
~		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/701,585

Art Unit: 1754

**Interview Summary** 

During the personal interview, applicant's representative showed to the Examiner a proposed

Declaration, however the Examiner indicated that the declaration is not sufficient to overcome

the instant prior art rejections (See paper #7). Applicants' representative, suggested to amend the

independent claims to include the limitations of claims 8 and 9. Applicant's representative

proposed to provide data in the form of a declaration indicating surprisingly unexpected results

when using  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  alumina as opposed to any other type of alumina as well as the ruthenium

percentage composition. The Examiner agreed to consider the proposed data upon submission

and indicated that further search will be required.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to the examiner Maribel Medina. The examiner can normally be reached on

Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating

to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose

telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Examiner: Maribel Medina MM

Tel: 703-305-1928 Fax: 703-872-9310

**December 4, 2002**